

$$\Delta(1232) \ 3/2^+$$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

Older and obsolete values are listed and referenced in the 2014 edition, Chinese Physics **C38** 070001 (2014).

## $\Delta(1232)$ POLE POSITIONS

### REAL PART, MIXED CHARGES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1209 to 1211 (<math>\approx 1210</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1211 $\pm 1 \pm 1$	<sup>1</sup> SVARC	14 L+P	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1210.5 $\pm 1.0$	ANISOVICH	12A DPWA	Multichannel
1210 $\pm 1$	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1215 $\pm 1$	ROENCHEN	22 DPWA	Multichannel
1212.4	HUNT	19 DPWA	Multichannel
1218	ROENCHEN	15A DPWA	Multichannel
1211 $\pm 1$	ANISOVICH	10 DPWA	Multichannel
1211	ARNDT	06 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1210	ARNDT	04 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1209	<sup>2</sup> HOEHLER	93 ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup>Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

<sup>2</sup>See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

### −2×IMAGINARY PART, MIXED CHARGES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>98 to 102 (<math>\approx 100</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
93 $\pm 1$	ROENCHEN	22 DPWA	Multichannel
98 $\pm 2 \pm 1$	<sup>1</sup> SVARC	14 L+P	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
99 $\pm 2$	ANISOVICH	12A DPWA	Multichannel
100 $\pm 2$	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
96.8	HUNT	19 DPWA	Multichannel
92	ROENCHEN	15A DPWA	Multichannel
100 $\pm 2$	ANISOVICH	10 DPWA	Multichannel
99	ARNDT	06 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
100	ARNDT	04 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
100	<sup>2</sup> HOEHLER	93 ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup>Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

<sup>2</sup>See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of  $N$  and  $\Delta$  resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of  $\pi N$  elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

### REAL PART, $\Delta(1232)^{++}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
1212.50 $\pm 0.24$	BERNICHIA	96 Fit to PEDRONI 78

**–2×IMAGINARY PART,  $\Delta(1232)^{++}$** 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
97.37±0.42	BERNICHA 96		Fit to PEDRONI 78

**REAL PART,  $\Delta(1232)^{+}$** 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1211 ±1 to 1212 ± 1	HANSTEIN 96	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1206.9±0.9 to 1210.5 ± 1.8	MIROSHNIC... 79		Fit photoproduction

**–2×IMAGINARY PART,  $\Delta(1232)^{+}$** 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
102 ±2 to 99 ± 2	<sup>1</sup> HANSTEIN 96	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
111.2±2.0 to 116.6 ± 2.2	MIROSHNIC... 79		Fit photoproduction

<sup>1</sup>The second (lower) value of HANSTEIN 96 here goes with the second (higher) value of the real part in the preceding data block.

**REAL PART,  $\Delta(1232)^0$** 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1213.20±0.66	BERNICHA 96		Fit to PEDRONI 78

**–2×IMAGINARY PART,  $\Delta(1232)^0$** 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
104.10±1.01	BERNICHA 96		Fit to PEDRONI 78

 **$\Delta(1232)$  ELASTIC POLE RESIDUES****ABSOLUTE VALUE, MIXED CHARGES**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>49 to 52 (<math>\approx</math> 50) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
50 ±1	ROENCHEN 22	DPWA	Multichannel
50 ±1 ±1	<sup>1</sup> SVARC 14	L+P	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
51.6±0.6	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
53 ±2	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
46	ROENCHEN 15A	DPWA	Multichannel
52	ARNDT 06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
53	ARNDT 04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
50	HOEHLER 93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

**PHASE, MIXED CHARGES**

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>–48 to –45 (<math>\approx</math> –46) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
–39±1	ROENCHEN 22	DPWA	Multichannel
–46±1±1	<sup>1</sup> SVARC 14	L+P	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
–46±1	ANISOVICH 12A	DPWA	Multichannel
–47±1	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

–36	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA	Multichannel
–47	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
–47	ARNDT	04	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
–48	HOEHLER	93	ARGD	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup> Fit to the amplitudes of HOEHLER 79.

## $\Delta(1232)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASSES

### MIXED CHARGES

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1230 to 1234 (<math>\approx 1232</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1230.8 $\pm$ 0.4	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
1228 $\pm$ 2	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1233.4 $\pm$ 0.4	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1232 $\pm$ 3	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1233 $\pm$ 2	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1231.1 $\pm$ 0.2	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
1230 $\pm$ 2	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA Multichannel
1232.9 $\pm$ 1.2	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
1228 $\pm$ 1	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup> Statistical error only.

### $\Delta(1232)^{++}$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1230.55 $\pm$ 0.20	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1231.88 $\pm$ 0.29	BERNICH	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
1230.5 $\pm$ 0.2	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1230.9 $\pm$ 0.3	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1231.1 $\pm$ 0.2	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

### $\Delta(1232)^+$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
1234.9 $\pm$ 1.4	MIROSHNIC... 79	Fit photoproduction

### $\Delta(1232)^0$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1231.3 $\pm$ 0.6	BREITSCHOP..06	CNTR	Using new CHEX data
1233.40 $\pm$ 0.22	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1234.35 $\pm$ 0.75	BERNICH	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
1233.1 $\pm$ 0.3	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1233.6 $\pm$ 0.5	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1233.8 $\pm$ 0.2	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

$m_{\Delta^0} - m_{\Delta^{++}}$ 

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2.86±0.30	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2.25±0.68	BERNICHIA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
2.6 ±0.4	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
2.7 ±0.3	<sup>1</sup> PEDRONI	78	See the masses
<sup>1</sup> Using $\pi^\pm d$ as well, PEDRONI 78 determine $(M^- - M^{++}) + (M^0 - M^+)/3 = 4.6 \pm 0.2$ MeV.			

 $\Delta(1232)$  BREIT-WIGNER WIDTHS

## MIXED CHARGES

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>114 to 120 (<math>\approx 117</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
110.9±0.8	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
110 ±3	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
118.7±0.6	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
120 ±5	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
116 ±5	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
113.0±0.5	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
112 ±4	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA Multichannel
118.0±2.2	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$
106 ±1	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup> Statistical error only. $\Delta(1232)^{++}$  WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
112.2 ±0.7	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
109.07±0.48	BERNICHIA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
111.0 ±1.0	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
111.3 ±0.5	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

 $\Delta(1232)^+$  WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●		
131.1±2.4	MIROSHNIC... 79	Fit photoproduction

 $\Delta(1232)^0$  WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
112.5 ±1.9	BREITSCHOP..06	CNTR	Using new CHEX data
116.9 ±0.7	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
117.58±1.16	BERNICHIA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
113.0 ±1.5	KOCH	80B	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
117.9 ±0.9	PEDRONI	78	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ 70–370 MeV

**$\Delta^0$ - $\Delta^{++}$  WIDTH DIFFERENCE**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4.66±1.0	GRIDNEV	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
8.45±1.11	BERNICHIA	96	Fit to PEDRONI 78
5.1 ±1.0	ABAEV	95	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
6.6 ±1.0	PEDRONI	78	See the widths

 **$\Delta(1232)$  DECAY MODES**

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $N\pi$	99.4 %
$\Gamma_2$ $N\gamma$	0.55–0.65 %
$\Gamma_3$ $N\gamma$ , helicity=1/2	0.11–0.13 %
$\Gamma_4$ $N\gamma$ , helicity=3/2	0.44–0.52 %
$\Gamma_5$ $\rho e^+ e^-$	$(4.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$

 **$\Delta(1232)$  BRANCHING RATIOS**

<u><math>\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}</math></u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>0.994 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
0.9939±0.0001	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel	
1.00	ARNDT	06	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
1.0	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
1.0	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.994	SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel	
1.0	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA Multichannel	
1.000	ARNDT	04	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$	
1.00	PENNER	02C	DPWA Multichannel	

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

<u><math>\Gamma(\rho e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}</math></u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
<b>4.19±0.34±0.62</b>	<sup>1</sup> ADAMCZEW... 17	

<sup>1</sup>The systematic uncertainty includes the model dependence.

 **$\Delta(1232)$  PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES AT THE POLE** **$\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$** 

<u>MODULUS (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.126±0.002	-18 ± 2	ROENCHEN	22	DPWA Multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.117	-6.6	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel

**$\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , helicity-3/2 amplitude  $A_{3/2}$** 

<u>MODULUS (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>PHASE (<math>^\circ</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$-0.245 \pm 0.004$	$-0.7 \pm 0.9$	ROENCHEN	22	DPWA Multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.226$	2.8	ROENCHEN	15A	DPWA Multichannel

 **$\Delta(1232)$  BREIT-WIGNER PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES**

Papers on  $\gamma N$  amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics **G33** 1 (2006).

 **$\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , helicity-1/2 amplitude  $A_{1/2}$** 

<u>VALUE (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>-0.142</math> to <math>-0.129</math> (<math>\approx -0.135</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$-0.146 \pm 0.002$	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.131 \pm 0.004$	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.139 \pm 0.002$	<sup>1</sup> WORKMAN	12A	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow N\pi$
$-0.139 \pm 0.004$	<sup>1</sup> DUGGER	07	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.137 \pm 0.005$	AHRENS	04A	DPWA $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow N\pi$
$-0.1357 \pm 0.0013 \pm 0.0037$	BLANPIED	01	LEGS $\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma, p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
$-0.131 \pm 0.001$	<sup>1</sup> BECK	00	IPWA $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
$-0.140 \pm 0.005$	KAMALOV	99	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.1294 \pm 0.0013$	HANSTEIN	98	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.1278 \pm 0.0012$	DAVIDSON	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$-0.137 \pm 0.001$	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.136 \pm 0.005$	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.140$	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.129 \pm 0.001$	ARNDT	02	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow N\pi$
$-0.128$	PENNER	02D	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.1312$	HANSTEIN	98	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

 **$\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma$ , helicity-3/2 amplitude  $A_{3/2}$** 

<u>VALUE (<math>\text{GeV}^{-1/2}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>-0.262</math> to <math>-0.248</math> (<math>\approx -0.255</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
$-0.250 \pm 0.002$	<sup>1</sup> HUNT	19	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.254 \pm 0.005$	ANISOVICH	12A	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.262 \pm 0.003$	WORKMAN	12A	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow N\pi$
$-0.258 \pm 0.005$	DUGGER	07	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.256 \pm 0.003$	AHRENS	04A	DPWA $\vec{\gamma}\vec{p} \rightarrow N\pi$
$-0.2669 \pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0078$	BLANPIED	01	LEGS $\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma, p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
$-0.251 \pm 0.001$	BECK	00	IPWA $\vec{\gamma}p \rightarrow p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
$-0.258 \pm 0.006$	KAMALOV	99	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.2466 \pm 0.0013$	HANSTEIN	98	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$-0.2524 \pm 0.0013$	DAVIDSON	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
$-0.251 \pm 0.001$	<sup>1</sup> SHRESTHA	12A	DPWA Multichannel
$-0.267 \pm 0.008$	ANISOVICH	10	DPWA Multichannel

-0.265	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.243 $\pm 0.001$	ARNDT	02	DPWA	$\gamma p \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.247	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.2522	HANSTEIN	98	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

<sup>1</sup>Statistical error only.

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma, E_2/M_1$ ratio

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>-0.030 to -0.020 (<math>\approx -0.025</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
-0.0274 $\pm 0.0003 \pm 0.0030$	AHRENS	04A	DPWA $\bar{\gamma}\bar{p} \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.020 $\pm 0.002$	ARNDT	02	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow N\pi$
-0.0307 $\pm 0.0026 \pm 0.0024$	BLANPIED	01	LEGS $\gamma p \rightarrow p\gamma, p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
-0.016 $\pm 0.004 \pm 0.002$	GALLER	01	DPWA $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma p$
-0.025 $\pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$	BECK	00	IPWA $\bar{\gamma}p \rightarrow p\pi^0, n\pi^+$
-0.0233 $\pm 0.0017$	HANSTEIN	98	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.015 $\pm 0.005$	<sup>1</sup> ARNDT	97	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.0319 $\pm 0.0024$	DAVIDSON	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.022	DRECHSEL	07	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.026	PENNER	02D	DPWA	Multichannel
-0.0254 $\pm 0.0010$	HANSTEIN	98	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.025 $\pm 0.002 \pm 0.002$	BECK	97	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.030 $\pm 0.003 \pm 0.002$	BLANPIED	97	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N, \gamma N$

<sup>1</sup>This ARNDT 97 value is very sensitive to the database being fitted. The result is from a fit to the full pion photoproduction database, apart from the BLANPIED 97 cross-section measurements.

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma, \text{absolute value of } E_2/M_1 \text{ ratio at pole}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.065 $\pm 0.007$	ARNDT	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.058	HANSTEIN	96	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

### $\Delta(1232) \rightarrow N\gamma, \text{phase of } E_2/M_1 \text{ ratio at pole}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-122 $\pm 5$	ARNDT	97	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-127.2	HANSTEIN	96	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

## $\Delta(1232)$ MAGNETIC MOMENTS

### $\Delta(1232)^{++}$ MAGNETIC MOMENT

The values are extracted from UCLA and SIN data on  $\pi^+ p$  bremsstrahlung using a variety of different theoretical approximations and methods. Our estimate is *only* a rough guess of the range we expect the moment to lie within.

VALUE ( $\mu_N$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
6.14 $\pm 0.51$	LOPEZCAST... 01	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p\gamma$
4.52 $\pm 0.50 \pm 0.45$	BOSSHARD 91		$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p\gamma$ (SIN data)

3.7 to 4.2	LIN	91B	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from UCLA data)
4.6 to 4.9	LIN	91B	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from SIN data)
5.6 to 7.5	WITTMAN	88	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from UCLA data)
6.9 to 9.8	HELLER	87	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (from UCLA data)
4.7 to 6.7	NEFKENS	78	$\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ p \gamma$ (UCLA data)

 **$\Delta(1232)^+$  MAGNETIC MOMENT**

VALUE ( $\mu_N$ )	DOCUMENT ID	COMMENT
-------------------	-------------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.7^{+1.0}_{-1.3} \pm 1.5 \pm 3$  <sup>1</sup> KOTULLA 02  $\gamma p \rightarrow p \pi^0 \gamma'$

<sup>1</sup>The second error is systematic, the third is an estimate of theoretical uncertainties.

 **$\Delta(1232)$  REFERENCES**

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ROENCHEN 22	EPJ A58 229	D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i>	(JULI, GWU, BONN+)
HUNT 19	PR C99 055205	B.C. Hunt, D.M. Manley	
ADAMCZEW... 17	PR C95 065205	J. Adamczewski-Musch <i>et al.</i>	(HADES Collab.)
ROENCHEN 15A	EPJ A51 70	D. Roenchen <i>et al.</i>	
PDG 14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
SVARC 14	PR C89 045205	A. Svarc <i>et al.</i>	(RBI Zagreb, UNI Tuzla)
ANISOVICH 12A	EPJ A48 15	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
SHRESTHA 12A	PR C86 055203	M. Shrestha, D.M. Manley	(KSU)
WORKMAN 12A	PR C86 015202	R. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
ANISOVICH 10	EPJ A44 203	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
DRECHSEL 07	EPJ A34 69	D. Drechsel, S.S. Kamalov, L. Tiator	(MAINZ, JINR)
DUGGER 07	PR C76 025211	M. Dugger <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
ARNDT 06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
BREITSCHOP... 06	PL B639 424	J. Breitschopf <i>et al.</i>	(TUBIN, HEBR, CSUS)
GRIDNEV 06	PAN 69 1542	A.B. Gridnev <i>et al.</i>	(PNPI, BONN, GWU)
PDG 06	JP G33 1	W.-M. Yao <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
AHRENS 04A	EPJ A21 323	J. Ahrens <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab.)
ARNDT 04	PR C69 035213	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU, TRIU)
ARNDT 02	PR C66 055213	R. A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
KOTULLA 02	PRL 89 272001	M. Kotulla <i>et al.</i>	(MAMI TAPS Collab.)
PENNER 02C	PR C66 055211	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
PENNER 02D	PR C66 055212	G. Penner, U. Mosel	(GIES)
BLANPIED 01	PR C64 025203	G. Blanpied <i>et al.</i>	(BNL LEGS Collab.)
GALLER 01	PL B503 245	G. Galler <i>et al.</i>	(Mainz LARA Collab.)
LOPEZCAST... 01	PL B517 339	G. Lopez Castro, A. Mariano	
Also	NP A697 440	G. Lopez Castro, A. Mariano	
BECK 00	PR C61 035204	R. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(Mainz Microtron DAPHNE Col.)
KAMALOV 99	PRL 83 4494	S.S. Kamalov, S.N. Yang	(Taiwan U.)
HANSTEIN 98	NP A632 561	O. Hanstein, D. Drechsel, L. Tiator	
ARNDT 97	PR C56 577	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
BECK 97	PRL 78 606	R. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(MAINZ, SACL, PAVI, GLAS)
Also	PRL 79 4510	R.L. Beck, H.P. Krahn	(MAINZ)
Also	PRL 79 4512	R.L. Beck, H.P. Krahn	(MAINZ)
Also	PRL 79 4515 (err.)	R.L. Beck <i>et al.</i>	(MAINZ, SACL, PAVI, GLAS)
BLANPIED 97	PRL 79 4337	G.S. Blanpied <i>et al.</i>	(LEGS Collab.)
DAVIDSON 97	PRL 79 4509	R.M. Davidson, N.C.A. Mukhopadhyay	(RPI)
BERNICHIA 96	NP A597 623	A. Bernichia, G. Lopez Castro, J. Pestieau	(LOUV+)
HANSTEIN 96	PL B385 45	O. Hanstein, D. Drechsel, L. Tiator	(MAINZ)
ABAEV 95	ZPHY A352 85	V.V. Abaev, S.P. Kruglov	(PNPI)
HOEHLER 93	$\pi N$ Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
BOSSHARD 91	PR D44 1962	A. Bosshard <i>et al.</i>	(ZURI, LBL, VILL+)
Also	PRL 64 2619	A. Bosshard <i>et al.</i>	(CATH, LAUS, LBL+)
LIN 91B	PR C44 1819	D.H. Lin, M.K. Liou, Z.M. Ding	(CUNY, CSOK)
Also	PR C43 R930	D. Lin, M.K. Liou	(CUNY)
WITTMAN 88	PR C37 2075	R. Wittman	(TRIU)
HELLER 87	PR C35 718	L. Heller <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, MIT, ILL)
CUTKOSKY 80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)



KOCH	80B	NP A336 331	R. Koch, E. Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
MIROSHNIC...	79	SJNP 29 94	I.I. Miroshnichenko <i>et al.</i>	(KFTI) IJP
		Translated from YAF 29 188.		
NEFKENS	78	PR D18 3911	B.M.K. Nefkens <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA, CATH) IJP
PEDRONI	78	NP A300 321	E. Pedroni <i>et al.</i>	(SIN, ISNG, KARLE+) IJP

---