

$\eta'(958)$ 

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{-+})$$

### $\eta'(958)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>957.78 ± 0.06</b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>			
957.793 ± 0.054 ± 0.036	3.9k	LIBBY	08	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
957.9 ± 0.2 ± 0.6	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC 1.68 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta'$
957.46 ± 0.33		DUANE	74	MMS $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \text{MM}$
958.2 ± 0.5	1414	DANBURG	73	HBC 2.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta'$
958 ± 1	400	JACOBS	73	HBC 2.9 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \eta'$
956.1 ± 1.1	3415	<sup>1</sup> BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta'$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
957.5 ± 0.2		BAI	04J	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
959 ± 1	630	<sup>2</sup> BELADIDZE	92C	VES 36 $\pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^- \eta' \eta \text{Be}$
958 ± 1	340	<sup>2</sup> ARMSTRONG	91B	OMEG 300 $pp \rightarrow pp \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
958.2 ± 0.4	622	<sup>2</sup> AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
957.8 ± 0.2	2420	<sup>2</sup> AUGUSTIN	90	DM2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
956.3 ± 1.0	143	<sup>2</sup> GIDAL	87	MRK2 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
957.4 ± 1.4	535	<sup>3</sup> BASILE	71	CNTR 1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta'$
957 ± 1		RITTENBERG	69	HBC 1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Using all  $\eta'$  decays.<sup>2</sup> Systematic uncertainty not estimated.<sup>3</sup> Using  $\eta'$  decays into neutrals. Not independent of the other listed BASILE 71  $\eta'$  mass measurement.

### $\eta'(958)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>0.188 ± 0.006</b>	<b>OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.230 ± 0.021</b>	<b>OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.226 ± 0.017 ± 0.014	2300	CZERWINSKI	10	MMS	$pp \rightarrow pp \eta'$
0.40 ± 0.22	4800	WURZINGER	96	SPEC	1.68 $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta'$
0.28 ± 0.10	1000	BINNIE	79	MMS	0 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \text{MM}$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
0.20 ± 0.04		BAI	04J	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$

### $\eta'(958)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	(42.5 ± 0.5 ) %	
$\Gamma_2$ $\rho^0 \gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ )	(29.48 ± 0.35 ) %	
$\Gamma_3$ $\rho^0 \gamma$		
$\Gamma_4$ $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	(22.4 ± 0.5 ) %	

$\Gamma_5$	$\omega\gamma$		$( 2.52 \pm 0.07 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_6$	$\omega e^+ e^-$		$( 2.0 \pm 0.4 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_7$	$\gamma\gamma$		$( 2.307 \pm 0.033 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_8$	$3\pi^0$		$( 2.50 \pm 0.17 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_9$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$		$( 1.13 \pm 0.28 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{10}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$		$( 2.13 \pm 0.13 ) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{11}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		$( 3.61 \pm 0.17 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{12}$	$(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$ S-wave		$( 3.8 \pm 0.5 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{13}$	$\pi^\mp \rho^\pm$		$( 7.4 \pm 2.3 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{14}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$		$( 8.51 \pm 0.33 ) \times 10^{-5}$	
$\Gamma_{15}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0$		$( 2.11 \pm 0.15 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{16}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ neutrals	$< 1$	$\%$	95%
$\Gamma_{17}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)\pi^0$	$< 1.8$	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{18}$	$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)2\pi^0$	$< 1$	$\%$	95%
$\Gamma_{19}$	$3(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	$< 3.1$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{20}$	$K^\pm \pi^\mp$	$< 4$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{21}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$		$( 2.43 \pm 0.06 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{22}$	$\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.}$	$< 2.1$	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{23}$	$\gamma e^+ e^-$		$( 4.80 \pm 0.15 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{24}$	$\pi^0 \gamma \gamma$		$( 3.20 \pm 0.24 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{25}$	$\pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ (non resonant)		$( 6.2 \pm 0.9 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{26}$	$\eta \gamma \gamma$	$< 1.33$	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{27}$	$4\pi^0$	$< 1.2$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{28}$	$e^+ e^-$	$< 5.6$	$\times 10^{-9}$	90%
$\Gamma_{29}$	$e^+ e^- e^+ e^-$		$( 4.5 \pm 1.1 ) \times 10^{-6}$	
$\Gamma_{30}$	$e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$< 1.8$	$\times 10^{-6}$	90%
$\Gamma_{31}$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$< 5$	$\times 10^{-7}$	90%
$\Gamma_{32}$	invisible	$< 2.1$	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{33}$	$\gamma$ Dark Photon		$5 \times 10^{-7}$ to $3.5 \times 10^{-6}$	90%

**Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P),  
Lepton family number (LF) violating modes**

$\Gamma_{34}$	$\pi^+ \pi^-$	$P, CP$	$< 1.8$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{35}$	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	$P, CP$	$< 4$	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{36}$	$\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	$C$	[a] $< 1.4$	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{37}$	$\pi^0 \rho^0$	$C$	$< 4$	$\%$	90%
$\Gamma_{38}$	$\eta e^+ e^-$	$C$	[a] $< 2.4$	$\times 10^{-3}$	90%
$\Gamma_{39}$	$3\gamma$	$C$	$< 1.0$	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{40}$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0$	$C$	[a] $< 6.0$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{41}$	$\mu^+ \mu^- \eta$	$C$	[a] $< 1.5$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%
$\Gamma_{42}$	$e\mu$	$LF$	$< 4.7$	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
$\Gamma_{43}$	$\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ALP} \rightarrow$ $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$		$< 1.9$	$\times 10^{-5}$	90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

### CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to the total width, a partial width, 2 combinations of partial widths obtained from integrated cross section, and 21 branching ratios uses 53 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 69.5$  for 45 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta p_i \delta p_j \rangle / (\delta p_i \cdot \delta p_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to parameters  $p_i$ , including the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_2$	-24							
$x_4$	-77	-42						
$x_5$	-7	-6	-2					
$x_7$	-11	-7	9	-1				
$x_8$	-17	-9	19	-1	2			
$x_{11}$	-1	-1	-1	0	0	0		
$x_{21}$	-5	19	-9	-1	-2	-2	0	
$\Gamma$	11	-9	-2	1	-40	0	0	-2
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_7$	$x_8$	$x_{11}$	$x_{21}$

Mode	Rate (MeV)
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \eta$	0.0799 $\pm$ 0.0029
$\Gamma_2$ $\rho^0 \gamma$ (including non-resonant $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ )	0.0554 $\pm$ 0.0019
$\Gamma_4$ $\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$	0.0421 $\pm$ 0.0017
$\Gamma_5$ $\omega \gamma$	0.00474 $\pm$ 0.00020
$\Gamma_7$ $\gamma \gamma$	0.00434 $\pm$ 0.00013
$\Gamma_8$ $3\pi^0$	(4.7 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	(6.8 $\pm$ 0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{21}$ $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$	(4.57 $\pm$ 0.19) $\times 10^{-4}$

### $\eta'(958)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$						$\Gamma_7$
VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT		
<b>4.34 <math>\pm</math> 0.14 OUR FIT</b>						
<b>4.28 <math>\pm</math> 0.19 OUR AVERAGE</b>						
4.17 $\pm$ 0.10 $\pm$ 0.27	2000	<sup>1</sup> ACCIARRI	98Q L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$		
4.53 $\pm$ 0.29 $\pm$ 0.51	266	KARCH	92 CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$		
3.61 $\pm$ 0.13 $\pm$ 0.48		<sup>2</sup> BEHREND	91 CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$		
4.6 $\pm$ 1.1 $\pm$ 0.6	23	BARU	90 MD1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$		
4.57 $\pm$ 0.25 $\pm$ 0.44		BUTLER	90 MRK2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta'(958)$		
5.08 $\pm$ 0.24 $\pm$ 0.71	547	<sup>3</sup> ROE	90 ASP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- 2\gamma$		

3.8 ± 0.7 ± 0.6	34	AIHARA	88C	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.9 ± 0.5 ± 0.5	136	<sup>4</sup> WILLIAMS	88	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
4.7 ± 0.6 ± 0.9	143	<sup>5</sup> GIDAL	87	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
4.0 ± 0.9		<sup>6</sup> BARTEL	85E	JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-2\gamma$

- <sup>1</sup> No non-resonant  $\pi^+\pi^-$  contribution found.  
<sup>2</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \rho(770)\gamma) = (30.2 \pm 1.3)\%$ .  
<sup>3</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$ .  
<sup>4</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (2.11 \pm 0.13)\%$ .  
<sup>5</sup> Superseded by BUTLER 90.  
<sup>6</sup> Systematic error not evaluated.

### $\Gamma(e^+e^-)$ $\Gamma_{28}$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;1.1 × 10<sup>-3</sup></b>	90	<sup>1,2</sup> ACHASOV 15	SND	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<2.0 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	90	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV 15	SND	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
<2.4 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	90	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	0.958 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

- <sup>1</sup> Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15.  
<sup>2</sup> Using  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  branching fractions from PDG 14.

### $\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into  $\gamma\gamma$  and with the total width is obtained from the integrated cross section into channel(i) in the  $\gamma\gamma$  annihilation.

### $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.28 ± 0.04 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>1.26 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
1.09 ± 0.04 ± 0.13		BEHREND 91	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho(770)^0\gamma$
1.35 ± 0.09 ± 0.21		AIHARA 87	TPC	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.13 ± 0.04 ± 0.13	867	ALBRECHT 87B	ARG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.53 ± 0.09 ± 0.21		ALTHOFF 84E	TASS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.14 ± 0.08 ± 0.11	243	BERGER 84B	PLUT	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.73 ± 0.34 ± 0.35	95	JENNI 83	MRK2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
1.49 ± 0.13 ± 0.027	213	BARTEL 82B	JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.85 ± 0.31 ± 0.24	43	BEHREND 82C	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\rho\gamma$

### $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) \times \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_7\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.97 ± 0.04 OUR FIT</b>			Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
<b>0.92 ± 0.06 ± 0.11</b>	<sup>1</sup> KARCH 92	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.95 ± 0.05 ± 0.08	<sup>2</sup> KARCH 90	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
1.00 ± 0.08 ± 0.10	<sup>2,3</sup> ANTREASYAN 87	CBAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Reevaluated by us using  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.21 \pm 0.34)\%$ . Supersedes ANTREASYAN 87 and KARCH 90.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by KARCH 92.

<sup>3</sup> Using  $BR(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (38.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ .

### $\eta'(958) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$
VALUE ( $10^{-3}$ eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>&lt;1.0</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 15	CMD3	$0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$	
<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 15 reports $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) \times \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ eV which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .					

### $\eta'(958)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>42.5 \pm 0.5</math> OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b><math>41.24 \pm 0.08 \pm 1.24</math></b>	312k	ABLIKIM	19T	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$42.4 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.4$	1.2k	<sup>1</sup> PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
<sup>1</sup> Not independent of other $\eta'$ branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.					

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{charged decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$0.2805\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>0.1191 \pm 0.0015</math> OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.123 \pm 0.014$	107	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^-p$	
$0.10 \pm 0.04$	10	LONDON 66	HBC	$2.24 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda 2\pi^+ 2\pi^- \pi^0$	
$0.07 \pm 0.04$	7	BADIER 65B	HBC	$3 K^-p$	

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$0.7195\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>0.306 \pm 0.004</math> OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$0.314 \pm 0.026$	281	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^-p$	

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b><math>29.5 \pm 0.4</math> OUR FIT</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
<b><math>29.90 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.55</math></b>	913k	ABLIKIM	19T	BES $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$28.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4$	0.2k	<sup>1</sup> PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$	
$32.9 \pm 3.3$	298	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^-p$	
$20 \pm 10$	20	LONDON 66	HBC	$2.24 K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	
$34 \pm 9$	35	BADIER 65B	HBC	$3 K^-p$	

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$33.34 \pm 0.06 \pm 1.60$	970k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	18C	BES3 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$
$34.43 \pm 0.52 \pm 1.97$	970k	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	18C	BES3 $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> From a fit to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass using  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ , and box anomaly components.  
<sup>2</sup> From a fit to  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass using  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\omega(782)$ , and  $\rho(1450)$  components.

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.694 ± 0.014 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.  
**0.683 ± 0.020 OUR AVERAGE**

$0.677 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.011$	PEDLAR	09	CLE3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
$0.69 \pm 0.03$	ABLIKIM	06E	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta(\text{neutral decay}))$   $\Gamma_2/0.714\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**0.972 ± 0.019 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.  
**0.97 ± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE**

$0.70 \pm 0.22$		AMSLER	04B	CBAR $0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
$1.07 \pm 0.17$		BELADIDZE	92C	VES $36 \pi^- \text{Be} \rightarrow \pi^-\eta'\eta\text{Be}$
$0.92 \pm 0.14$	473	DANBURG	73	HBC $2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
$1.11 \pm 0.18$	192	JACOBS	73	HBC $2.9 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**22.4 ± 0.6 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.  
**21.36 ± 0.10 ± 0.92** 52k ABLIKIM 19T BES  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$23.5 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.4$	3.2k	<sup>1</sup> PEDLAR	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
------------------------	------	---------------------	----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(3\pi^0\text{ decay}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $0.321\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**0.0718 ± 0.0018 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.  
 • • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.11 \pm 0.06$	4	BENSINGER	70	DBC $2.2 \pi^+ d$
-----------------	---	-----------	----	-------------------

$\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.527 ± 0.019 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.  
**0.555 ± 0.043 ± 0.013** PEDLAR 09 CLE3  $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/\Gamma(\pi\pi\eta)$   $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1+\Gamma_4)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.455 ± 0.008 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.  
**0.43 ± 0.02 ± 0.02** BARBERIS 98C OMEG 450  $pp \rightarrow p_f\eta'p_s$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.31 \pm 0.15$	DAVIS	68	HBC $5.5 K^- p$
-----------------	-------	----	-----------------

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.52 ± 0.07 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2.50 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
2.489 ± 0.018 ± 0.074	23k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
2.55 ± 0.03 ± 0.16	33.2k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
2.34 ± 0.30 ± 0.04	70	<sup>2</sup> PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<sup>1</sup> Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$ .				
<sup>2</sup> Not independent of other $\eta'$ branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.				

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0593 ± 0.0018 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
<b>0.055 ± 0.007 ± 0.001</b>		PEDLAR	09 CLE3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.068 ± 0.013	68	ZANFINO	77 ASPK	8.4 $\pi^- p$

$\Gamma(\omega\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_4$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.113 ± 0.004 OUR FIT</b>			
<b>0.147 ± 0.016</b>	ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\omega\gamma)$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_5$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
7.71 ± 1.34 ± 0.54	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
<sup>1</sup> Obtained from other ABLIKIM 15AD measurements with common systematics taken into account.			

$\Gamma(\omega e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1.97 ± 0.34 ± 0.17</b>	66	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	15AD BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma$
<sup>1</sup> Using $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \eta'\gamma) = (5.15 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$ and $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) = (89.2 \pm 0.7)\%$ .				

$\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))/[\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta) + \Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta) + \Gamma(\omega\gamma)]$   $\Gamma_2/(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.438 ± 0.008 OUR FIT</b>			Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
0.25 ± 0.14	DAUBER	64 HBC	1.95 $K^- p$

$[\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta(\text{charged decay})) + \Gamma(\omega(\text{charged decay})\gamma)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  **(0.286 $\Gamma_4$  + 0.89 $\Gamma_5$ )/ $\Gamma$**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0864 ± 0.0017 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.045 ± 0.029	42	RITTENBERG	69 HBC	1.7–2.7 $K^- p$

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $(0.714\Gamma_1+0.286\Gamma_4+0.89\Gamma_5)/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**0.3896±0.0027 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.4 ±0.1	39	LONDON	66 HBC	2.24 $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^-$ neutrals
0.35 ±0.06	33	BADIER	65B HBC	3 $K^- p$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**2.307±0.035 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.**2.31 ±0.06 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.8.

2.331±0.012±0.035	71k	ABLIKIM	19T BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
1.99 $^{+0.31}_{-0.27}$ ±0.07	114	<sup>1</sup> WICHT	08 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \gamma \gamma$
2.00 ±0.18		<sup>2</sup> STANTON	80 SPEC	8.45 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.25 ±0.16 ±0.03	0.3k	<sup>3</sup> PEDLAR	09 CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
1.8 ±0.2	6000	<sup>4</sup> APEL	79 NICE	15–40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma$
2.5 ±0.7		DUANE	74 MMS	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n \text{MM}$
1.71 ±0.33	68	DALPIAZ	72 CNTR	1.6 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$
2.0 $^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	31	HARVEY	71 OSPK	3.65 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n X^0$

<sup>1</sup> WICHT 08 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+)] = (1.40^{+0.16+0.15}_{-0.15-0.12}) \times 10^{-6}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(B^+ \rightarrow \eta' K^+) = (7.04 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-5}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>2</sup> Includes APEL 79 result.<sup>3</sup> Not independent of other  $\eta'$  branching fractions and ratios in PEDLAR 09.<sup>4</sup> Data is included in STANTON 80 evaluation. $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.0543±0.0012 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.**0.053 ±0.004 ±0.001** PEDLAR 09 CLE3  $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$  $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.0782±0.0016 OUR FIT** Error includes scale factor of 1.1.**0.080 ±0.008** ABLIKIM 06E BES2  $J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$  $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

**0.1031±0.0028 OUR FIT****0.105 ±0.010 OUR AVERAGE** Error includes scale factor of 1.9.

0.091 ±0.009	AMSLER	93 CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p} p$
0.112 ±0.002 ±0.006	ALDE	87B GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\gamma$

 $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta (\text{neutral decay}))$   $\Gamma_7/0.714\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

**0.144±0.004 OUR FIT**

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.188±0.058                      16            APEL                      72    OSPK   3.8  $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$

### $\Gamma(\text{neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ (0.714 $\Gamma_4$ +0.09 $\Gamma_5$ + $\Gamma_7$ )/ $\Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.185±0.004 OUR FIT</b>	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.185±0.022                      535            BASILE                      71    CNTR   1.6  $\pi^- p \rightarrow nX^0$   
 0.189±0.026                      123            RITTENBERG   69    HBC   1.7–2.7  $K^- p$

### $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.50 ±0.17 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>3.57 ±0.26 OUR AVERAGE</b>				

3.522±0.082±0.254    2015            ABLIKIM            17    BES3    $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$   
 4.79 ±0.59 ±1.14    183            <sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM            15P    BES3    $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.56 ±0.22 ±0.34    309            <sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM            12E    BES3    $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(3\pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

### $\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_4$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>112± 8 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>78±10 OUR AVERAGE</b>				

86±19                      235            BLIK                      08    GAMS   32  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$   
 74±15                                           ALDE                      87B    GAM2   38  $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$   
 75±18                                           BINON                      84    GAM2   30–40  $\pi^- p \rightarrow n6\gamma$

### $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$ $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_7$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>4.9±1.2</b>	33	VIKTOROV	80	CNTR 25,33 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2.13±0.13 OUR AVERAGE</b>					

2.15±0.13±0.02    434            <sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM            24AK    BES3    $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$   
 1.94±0.37±0.02    53            <sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM            21I    BES3    $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

< 2.9                      90            <sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM            130    BES3    $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$   
 <24                      90            <sup>4</sup> NAIK                      09    CLEO    $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24AK reports  $(2.16 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-5}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 21i reports  $(1.97 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-5}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.21 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>3</sup> Using  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$  from PDG 12.

<sup>4</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.5</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) / \Gamma(\rho^0 \gamma (\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma))$ $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;1.0</b>	90	ABLIKIM 130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>3.61 ± 0.18 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>3.61 ± 0.18 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
3.591 ± 0.054 ± 0.174	6067	ABLIKIM 17	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$
4.28 ± 0.49 ± 1.11	78	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 15P	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- 3\pi$
3.7 $^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$ ± 0.4		<sup>2</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

3.83 ± 0.15 ± 0.39 1014 <sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM 12E BES3  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature to a single value.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

<sup>3</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 17.

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$ $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>8.5 ± 0.4 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
<b>8.27 <math>^{+2.49}_{-2.12}</math> ± 0.04</b>	20	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) / \Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (21  $^{+6}_{-5}$  ± 2) \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

### $\Gamma((\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0) \text{ S-wave}) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>37.63 ± 0.77 ± 5.00</b>	6580	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 17	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

$$\Gamma(\pi^\mp \rho^\pm)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>7.44 \pm 0.60 \pm 2.23</math></b>	1231	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	17	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^\mp \rho^\pm)$

<sup>1</sup> We have added all systematic uncertainties in quadrature.

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>8.51 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.10</math></b>		1650	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24F	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)$
$8.3 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1$		199	<sup>2,3</sup> ABLIKIM	14M	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
$< 24$	90		<sup>4</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
$< 1000$	90		RITTENBERG	69	HBC $1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- \rho$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24F reports  $(8.56 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-5}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 14M reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  =  $(4.40 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>3</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

<sup>4</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

$$\Gamma(2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta) \qquad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_1$$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt; 0.6</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>2.11 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.02</math></b>		865	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24F	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.78 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.02$		84	<sup>2,3</sup> ABLIKIM	14M	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
$< 27$	90		<sup>4</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24F reports  $(2.12 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 14M reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- 2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  =  $(9.38 \pm 1.79 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's

error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>3</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

<sup>4</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<6	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-2\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 15 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-) \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.002	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<0.01	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7-2.7 K^- p$

<sup>1</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$ $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^0)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 11 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)2\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.01	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)+MM$
<0.01	90	LONDON 66	HBC	Compilation

### $\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 13U	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma 3(\pi^+\pi^-)$
< 53	90	<sup>2</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
<500	95	KALBFLEISCH 64B	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> Using  $B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.16 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$ .

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

$\Gamma(3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;1.2</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
----------------	----	-------------------	----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-))/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(K^\pm\pi^\mp)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$   $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;1.3 <math>\times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	ABLIKIM	16M	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
--	----	---------	-----	---

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	------	-------------	------	---------

**2.43  $\pm$  0.06 OUR FIT**

<b>2.44 <math>\pm</math> 0.08 <math>\pm</math> 0.03</b>	22725	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24AK	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
---	-------	----------------------	------	------	----------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.11 $\pm$ 0.12 $\pm$ 0.14	429	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
----------------------------	-----	----------------------	-----	------	----------------------------------

2.5 $^{+1.2}_{-0.9} \pm 0.5$		<sup>3</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
------------------------------	--	-------------------	----	------	----------------------------------

<6	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC	2.7 $K^-p$
----	----	------------	----	-----	------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24AK reports  $(2.45 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

<sup>2</sup> Using  $\Gamma_2/\Gamma = (29.3 \pm 0.6)\%$  from PDG 12.

<sup>3</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\eta)$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**5.72  $\pm$  0.17 OUR FIT**

<b>5.51 <math>^{+3.00}_{-2.30} \pm 0.03</math></b>	8	<sup>1</sup> NAIK	09	CLEO $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
--	---	-------------------	----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] = (14  $^{+7}_{-5} \pm 3) \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = (39.36 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\rho^0\gamma(\text{including non-resonant } \pi^+\pi^-\gamma))$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_2$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

**8.24  $\pm$  0.21 OUR FIT**

<b>8.20 <math>\pm</math> 0.16 <math>\pm</math> 0.27</b>	2584	ABLIKIM	21J	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
---	------	---------	-----	------	----------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.2 $\pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	429	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	130	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
-----------------------	-----	----------------------	-----	------	----------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 21J.

$\Gamma(\pi^+ e^- \nu_e + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$   $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;5.0</b>	90	ABLIKIM	13G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta'$

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>4.80 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05</math></b>		7611	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24M BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<9	90	BRIERE	00 CLEO	10.6 $e^+ e^-$
----	----	--------	---------	----------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24M reports  $(4.83 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

$\Gamma(\gamma e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma_7$

VALUE (units $10^{-2}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>2.13 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.07</math></b>	864	ABLIKIM	15O BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>3.20 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.23</math></b>	3.4k	ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \eta)$   $\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma_4$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;37</b>	90	ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 4\gamma$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \gamma\gamma(\text{non resonant}))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>6.16 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.67</math></b>	655	ABLIKIM	17T BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{26}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt;1.33 \times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	ABLIKIM	19AW BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma 2\gamma$

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt;1.2 \times 10^{-5}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24F BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.94 \times 10^{-5}$	90	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	20E BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$
------------------------	----	----------------------	----------	-----------------------------------

$<3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	90	DONSKOV	14 GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-----------------------	----	---------	---------	------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24F reports  $< 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow 4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$ .

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by ABLIKIM 24F.

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$   $\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma_4$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

<23	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n8\gamma$
-----	----	------	-----	--

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{28}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

< $5.6 \times 10^{-9}$	90	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	15	SND $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi\eta$
------------------------	----	----------------------	----	---

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

<12 $\times 10^{-9}$	90	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN	15	CMD3 $0.958 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$
< $2.1 \times 10^{-7}$	90	VOROBYEV	88	ND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$

<sup>1</sup> Combining data of ACHASOV 15 and AKHMETSHIN 15 and using  $\Gamma(\eta') = 0.198 \pm 0.009$  MeV.

<sup>2</sup> Using  $\Gamma_{\eta'(958)} = 198 \pm 9$  keV,  $B(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta) = (42.9 \pm 0.7)\%$ , and  $B(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = (39.41 \pm 0.20)\%$ .

$\Gamma(e^+e^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{29}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

$4.5 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.1$	30	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	22E	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
-----------------------	----	----------------------	-----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 22E reports  $(4.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.25 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$ , which we rescale to our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ . Our first error is their experiment's error and our second error is the systematic error from using our best (shown rounded) value.

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{30}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

< $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	25N	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
------------------------	----	----------------------	-----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 25N reports  $< 1.75 \times 10^{-6}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ .

$\Gamma(\mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{31}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

< $5 \times 10^{-7}$	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	25N	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
----------------------	----	----------------------	-----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 25N reports  $< 5.28 \times 10^{-7}$  from a measurement of  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$  assuming  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = (5.28 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$ .

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-)$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_{10}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

$113.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 3.7$	434	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24AK	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$
-------------------------	-----	----------------------	------	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24AK value is not independent from the individual branching fraction measurements.

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

$<2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>1</sup> ANDREEV 24D NA64	CEX	$\pi^- \text{Fe} \rightarrow \eta' X$
-----------------------	----	-------------------------------	-----	---------------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<9.5 \times 10^{-4}$	90	<sup>2</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
-----------------------	----	----------------------	------	-----------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> ANDREEV 24D result is based on  $2.9 \times 10^9$  pions on active  $^{26}\text{Fe}$  target.

<sup>2</sup> Not independent of measured value of  $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_1$  from NAIK 09.

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta)$   $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_1$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.1$	90	<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09	CLEO	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
--------	----	----------------------	------	-----------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> NAIK 09 reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \text{invisible})/\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta)] / [B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)] < 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$  which we multiply by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = 39.36 \times 10^{-2}$ .

 $\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)$   $\Gamma_{32}/\Gamma_7$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<2.4 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM 13	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta'$
-----------------------	----	------------	------	---------------------------------

$<6.69 \times 10^{-2}$	90	ABLIKIM 06Q	BES	$J/\psi \rightarrow \phi \eta'$
------------------------	----	-------------	-----	---------------------------------

 $\Gamma(\gamma \text{Dark Photon})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{33}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	------	-------------	------	---------

<b>0.5 to 3.5</b>	90	7611	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 24M	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
-------------------	----	------	--------------------------	------	---

<sup>1</sup> For a dark photon decaying to  $e^+ e^-$  in the mass range 0 to 0.7 GeV.

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{34}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b><math>&lt; 0.18</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ 17D	LHCB	$D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$
-------------------------------	----	-----------------------	------	---

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 0.5$	90	<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 11G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
---------	----	--------------------------	------	---

$< 29$	90	<sup>3</sup> MORI 07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
--------	----	-----------------------	------	--

$< 3.3$	90	<sup>4</sup> MORI 07A	BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
---------	----	-----------------------	------	--

$< 800$	95	DANBURG 73	HBC	$2.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda X^0$
---------	----	------------	-----	-------------------------------------

$< 200$	90	RITTENBERG 69	HBC	$1.7\text{--}2.7 K^- p$
---------	----	---------------	-----	-------------------------

<sup>1</sup> Using branching fractions of  $D_{(s)}^+$  decays from PDG 15.

<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM 11G reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958))]$   
 $< 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$ .

<sup>3</sup> Taking into account interference with the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  continuum.

<sup>4</sup> Without interference with the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$  continuum.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b><math>&lt; 4 \times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 11G	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^0 \pi^0$
---	----	--------------------------	------	---

<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM 11G reports  $[\Gamma(\eta'(958) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}] \times [B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958))]$   
 $< 2.84 \times 10^{-7}$  which we divide by our best (shown rounded) value  $B(J/\psi(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\eta'(958)) = 5.28 \times 10^{-3}$ .

### $\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ $\Gamma_{35}/\Gamma_4$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;45</b>	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n4\gamma$

### $\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{36}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 1.4</b>	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<13	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

### $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rho^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.04</b>	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

### $\Gamma(\eta e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-3}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt; 2.4</b>	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+ e^-$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<11	90	RITTENBERG	65	HBC $2.7 K^- p$

### $\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0\pi^0\eta)$ $\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma_4$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;4.6</b>	90	ALDE	87B	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n3\gamma$

### $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{40}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;6.0</b>	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR $30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

### $\Gamma(\mu^+ \mu^- \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-5}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;1.5</b>	90	DZHELYADIN	81	CNTR $30 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$

### $\Gamma(e\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units $10^{-4}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;4.7</b>	90	BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+ e^-$

### $\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ALP} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-)$ $\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma_{21}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;7.8 <math>\times 10^{-3}</math></b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24AK	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'$

<sup>1</sup> For a pseudoscalar ALP, with a mass in the range 0–500 MeV. The measured limit at the 90% credibility level as a function of the mass of ALP ranges from  $0.1 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $7.8 \times 10^{-3}$ .

$\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi\pi$  DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = |1 + \alpha Y|^2 + CX + DX^2$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are Dalitz variables;  $\alpha$  is complex and  $C$ , and  $D$  are real-valued. Parameters  $C$  and  $D$  are not necessarily equal to  $c$  and  $d$ , respectively, in the generalized parameterization following this one. May be different for  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$  decays. Because of different initial assumptions and strong correlations of the parameters we do not average the parameters in the section below.

**Re( $\alpha$ ) decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.034 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.054 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.033 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003$	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$-0.072 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.006$	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES $28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- A^*$
$-0.021 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.017$	6.7k	<sup>3</sup> BRIERE	00	CLEO $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- X$
$-0.058 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.003$	5.4k	<sup>4</sup> ALDE	86	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$-0.08 \pm 0.03$		<sup>4,5</sup> KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ , and  $D = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup> Assuming  $C = 0$ .

<sup>5</sup> From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

**Im( $\alpha$ ) decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$0.000 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.001$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$0.000 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.002$	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$0.000 \pm 0.049 \pm 0.001$	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$0.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.0$	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES $28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-\pi^- A^*$
$-0.00 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.00$	5.4k	<sup>3</sup> ALDE	86	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^0\pi^0$
$0.0 \pm 0.3$		<sup>3,4</sup> KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $C = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup> From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

**C decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$0.0027 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0015$	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

0.018 ±0.009 ±0.003	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
0.020 ±0.018 ±0.004	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES	$28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

### D decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
-0.053 ±0.004 ±0.004	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
-0.061 ±0.009 ±0.005	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.059 ±0.012 ±0.004	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
-0.066 ±0.030 ±0.015	7k	<sup>2</sup> AMELIN	05A	VES $28 \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- A^*$
0.00 ±0.03 ±0.00	5.4k	<sup>3</sup> ALDE	86	GAM2 $38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
0		<sup>3,4</sup> KALBFLEISCH	74	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>2</sup> Superseded by DOROFEEV 07, which found this parameterization unacceptable. See below.

<sup>3</sup> Assuming  $C = 0$ .

<sup>4</sup> From the data of DAUBER 64, RITTENBERG 69, AGUILAR-BENITEZ 72B, JACOBS 73, and DANBURG 73.

## $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi$ DECAY PARAMETERS

$$|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 \propto 1 + a Y + b Y^2 + c X + d X^2$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are Dalitz variables and  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  are real-valued parameters. May be different for  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  and  $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$  decays. We do not average measurements in the section below because parameter values from each experiment are strongly correlated.

### a decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
-0.077 ±0.003 ±0.001		<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	23AH	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.056 ±0.004 ±0.002	351k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
-0.087 ±0.009 ±0.006	56k	ABLIKIM	18	BES3 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.074 ±0.008 ±0.006	124k	ADLARSON	18A	A2MM $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.072 ±0.007 ±0.008		<sup>2</sup> GONZALEZ-S.	18A	RVUE $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
-0.047 ±0.011 ±0.003	44k	<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM	11	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
-0.066 ±0.016 ±0.003	15k	<sup>4</sup> BLIK	09	GAM4 $32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.127 ±0.016 ±0.008	20k	<sup>5</sup> DOROFEEV	07	VES $27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n, \pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.

<sup>2</sup> Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

<sup>3</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>4</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$  decay.

<sup>5</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay.

**b decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.066 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.001$		<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	23AH BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.049 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.006$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.073 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	56k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.063 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.005$	124k	ADLARSON	18A A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.052 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$		<sup>2</sup> GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.069 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009$	44k	<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.063 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.004$	15k	<sup>4</sup> BLIK	09 GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.106 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.014$	20k	<sup>5</sup> DOROFEEV	07 VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.

<sup>2</sup> Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

<sup>3</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>4</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$  decay.

<sup>5</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay.

**c decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$0.0027 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0018$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.019 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$	44k	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.107 \pm 0.096 \pm 0.003$	15k	<sup>2</sup> BLIK	09 GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$0.015 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.014$	20k	<sup>3</sup> DOROFEEV	07 VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>2</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$  decay.

<sup>3</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay.

**d decay parameter**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.068 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$		<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	23AH BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.063 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003$	351k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$-0.074 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.004$	56k	ABLIKIM	18 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.050 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005$	124k	ADLARSON	18A A2MM	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.051 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.006$		<sup>2</sup> GONZALEZ-S..18A	RVUE	$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$
$-0.073 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$	44k	<sup>3</sup> ABLIKIM	11 BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.018 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.006$	15k	<sup>4</sup> BLIK	09 GAM4	$32.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
$-0.082 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.008$	20k	<sup>5</sup> DOROFEEV	07 VES	$27 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n,$ $\pi^- A \rightarrow \eta' \pi^- A^*$

<sup>1</sup> Fit IV, ignoring noncusp terms. Supersedes ABLIKIM 18.

<sup>2</sup> Theoretical analysis of ADLARSON 18A using resonance chiral perturbation theory to one loop.

<sup>3</sup> See ABLIKIM 11 for the full correlation matrix.

<sup>4</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$  decay. If  $c \equiv 0$  from Bose-Einstein symmetry,  $d = -0.067 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$ .

<sup>5</sup> From  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay.

## $\eta'(958)$ $\beta$ PARAMETER

### $|\text{MATRIX ELEMENT}|^2 = (1 + 2\beta Z)$

See the "Note on  $\eta$  Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition *Physical Review D* **50** 1173 (1994), p. 1454.

#### $\beta$ decay parameter

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.61 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
-0.640 ± 0.046 ± 0.047	1.8k	ABLIKIM	15G BES3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma(\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0)$
-0.59 ± 0.18	235	BLIK	08 GAMS	$32 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta' n$
-0.1 ± 0.3		ALDE	87B GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 3\pi^0$

## $\eta'(958)$ C-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

See the note on  $\eta$  decay parameters in the Stable Particle Particle Listings for definition of this parameter.

#### DECAY ASYMMETRY PARAMETER FOR $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>-0.03 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
-0.019 ± 0.056		AIHARA	87 TPC	$2\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
-0.069 ± 0.078	295	GRIGORIAN	75 STRC	$2.1 \pi^- p$
0.00 ± 0.10	103	KALBFLEISCH	75 HBC	$2.18 K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.07 ± 0.08	152	RITTENBERG	65 HBC	$2.1-2.7 K^- p$

#### $\eta'(958) \rightarrow \gamma \ell^+ \ell^-$ TRANSITION FORM FACTOR SLOPE

Related to the effective virtual meson mass  $\Lambda$ , via slope  $\approx \Lambda^{-2}$ . See e.g. LANDSBERG 85, eq. (3.8), for a detailed definition.

<u>VALUE (GeV<sup>-2</sup>)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>1.53 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1.524 ± 0.041	7611	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	24M BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta'$
1.7 ± 0.4	33	<sup>1</sup> VIKTOROV	80	$25,33 \pi^- p \rightarrow 2\mu\gamma$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.30 ± 0.19		<sup>2</sup> ABLIKIM	24AK BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ , $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$
1.60 ± 0.17 ± 0.08	864	<sup>1</sup> ABLIKIM	150 BES3	$\eta' \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$

<sup>1</sup> In the single-pole Ansatz where slope =  $1/(\Lambda^2 + \gamma^2)$  with  $\Lambda$ ,  $\gamma$  being a Breit-Wigner mass, width for the effective contributing vector meson.

<sup>2</sup> From a weighted average of the  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$  and  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$  values. The uncertainty is obtained by combining statistical and systematic uncertainties. TFF slope values go between  $1.10 \pm 0.21 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  and  $1.61 \pm 0.71 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  for  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ , and between  $2.37 \pm 0.49 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  and  $2.88 \pm 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  for  $\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ , according to the solution of the fitting function model.

$\eta'(958)$  REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	25N	PR D111 052002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24AK	JHEP 2407 135	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24F	PR D109 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	24M	PR D109 072001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ANDREEV	24D	PRL 133 121803	Yu.M. Andreev <i>et al.</i>	(NA64 Collab.)
ABLIKIM	23AH	PRL 130 081901	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	22E	PR D105 112010	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21I	PR D103 072006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	21J	PR D103 092005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	20E	PR D101 032001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19AW	PR D100 052015	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	19T	PRL 122 142002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18	PR D97 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	18C	PRL 120 242003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ADLARSON	18A	PR D98 012001	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
GONZALEZ-S...	18A	EPJ C78 758	S. Gonzalez-Solis, E. Passemar	(BEIJ, IND+)
AAIJ	17D	PL B764 233	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17	PRL 118 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	17T	PR D96 012005	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16M	PR D93 072008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15AD	PR D92 051101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15G	PR D92 012014	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15O	PR D92 012001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	15P	PR D92 012007	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	15	PR D91 092010	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	15	PL B740 273	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
PDG	15	RPP 2015 at pdg.lbl.gov		(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	14M	PRL 112 251801	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
DONSKOV	14	MPL A29 1450213	S. Donskov <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 $\pi$ Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13	PR D87 012009	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13G	PR D87 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13O	PR D87 092011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	13U	PR D88 091502	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12E	PRL 108 182001	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11	PR D83 012003	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ABLIKIM	11G	PR D84 032006	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
CZERWINSKI	10	PRL 105 122001	E. Czerwinski <i>et al.</i>	(COSY-11 Collab.)
BLIK	09	PAN 72 231	A.M. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP (Protvino))
		Translated from YAF 72 258.		
NAIK	09	PRL 102 061801	P. Naik <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PEDLAR	09	PR D79 111101	T.K. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BLIK	08	PAN 71 2124	A. Blik <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS-4 $\pi$ Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 71 2161.		
LIBBY	08	PRL 101 182002	J. Libby <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
WICHT	08	PL B662 323	J. Wicht <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DOROFEEV	07	PL B651 22	V. Dorofeev <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
MORI	07A	JPSJ 76 074102	T. Mori <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06E	PR D73 052008	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ABLIKIM	06Q	PRL 97 202002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
AMELIN	05A	PAN 68 372	D.V. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 68 401.		
AMSLER	04B	EPJ C33 23	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BAI	04J	PL B594 47	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BRIERE	00	PRL 84 26	R. Briere <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98Q	PL B418 399	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARBERIS	98C	PL B440 225	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
WURZINGER	96	PL B374 283	R. Wurzinger <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, ORSAY, SACL+)
PDG	94	PR D50 1173	L. Montanet <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, LBL, BOST+)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BELADIDZE	92C	SJNP 55 1535	G.M. Beladidze, S.I. Bitjukov, G.V. Borisov	(SERP+)
		Translated from YAF 55 2748.		
KARCH	92	ZPHY C54 33	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ARMSTRONG	91B	ZPHY C52 389	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
AUGUSTIN	90	PR D42 10	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
BUTLER	90	PR D42 1368	F. Butler <i>et al.</i>	(Mark II Collab.)

KARCH	90	PL B249 353	K. Karch <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)
AIHARA	88C	PR D38 1	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.)
VOROBYEV	88	SJNP 48 273	P.V. Vorobiev <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	87	PR D35 2650	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 $\gamma$ Collab.) JP
ALBRECHT	87B	PL B199 457	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALDE	87B	ZPHY C36 603	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BELG, SERP, LAPP)
ANTREASYAN	87	PR D36 2633	D. Antreasyan <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
GIDAL	87	PRL 59 2012	G. Gidal <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, SLAC, HARV)
ALDE	86	PL B177 115	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
ALTHOFF	84E	PL 147B 487	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BERGER	84B	PL 142B 125	C. Berger	(PLUTO Collab.)
BINON	84	PL 140B 264	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
JENNI	83	PR D27 1031	P. Jenni <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL)
BARTEL	82B	PL 113B 190	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	82C	PL 114B 378	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
Also		PL 125B 518 (errat.)	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
DZHELADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelezhadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
STANTON	80	PL B92 353	N.R. Stanton <i>et al.</i>	(OSU, CARL, MCGI+)
VIKTOROV	80	SJNP 32 520	V.A. Viktorov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32	1005.	
APEL	79	PL 83B 131	W.D. Apel, K.H. Augenstein, E. Bertolucci	(KARLK+)
BINNIE	79	PL 83B 141	D.M. Binnie <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC)
ZANFINO	77	PRL 38 930	C. Zanfino <i>et al.</i>	(CARL, MCGI, OHIO+)
GRIGORIAN	75	NP B91 232	A. Grigorian <i>et al.</i>	(+)
KALBFLEISCH	75	PR D11 987	G.R. Kalbfleisch, R.C. Strand, J.W. Chapman	(BNL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
KALBFLEISCH	74	PR D10 916	G.R. Kalbfleisch	(BNL)
DANBURG	73	PR D8 3744	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH) JP
JACOBS	73	PR D8 18	S.M. Jacobs <i>et al.</i>	(BRAN, UMD, SYRA+) JP
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
APEL	72	PL 40B 680	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA)
DALPIAZ	72	PL 42B 377	P.F. Dalpiaz <i>et al.</i>	(CERN)
BASILE	71	NC 3A 371	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
HARVEY	71	PRL 27 885	E.H. Harvey <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH)
BENSINGER	70	PL 33B 505	J.R. Bensinger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC)
RITTENBERG	69	Thesis UCRL 18863	A. Rittenberg	(LRL) I
DAVIS	68	PL 27B 532	R. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(NWES, ANL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA) IJP
BADIER	65B	PL 17 337	J. Badier <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, SACL, AMST)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
DAUBER	64	PRL 13 449	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA) JP
KALBFLEISCH	64B	PRL 13 349	G.R. Kalbfleisch, O.I. Dahl, A. Rittenberg	(LRL) JP