

- ¹ LARIN 20 reported this lifetime value from a measurement of $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 7.802 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.105$ eV, combining data from PrimEX-II on 12C and 28Si targets with previous PrimEX-I LARIN 11 measurements. This result supersedes LARIN 11.
- ² BYCHKOV 09 obtains this using the conserved-vector-current relation between the vector form factor F_V and the π^0 lifetime.
- ³ WILLIAMS 88 gives $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma) = 7.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$ eV. We give here $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma(\text{total})$.
- ⁴ BROWMAN 74 gives a π^0 width $\Gamma = 8.02 \pm 0.42$ eV. The mean life is \hbar/Γ .
- ⁵ LARIN 11 reported $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 7.82 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.17$ eV which we converted to mean life $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma(\text{total})$.

π^0 DECAY MODES

For decay limits to particles which are not established, see the appropriate Search sections (A^0 (axion) and Other Light Boson (X^0) Searches, etc.).

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Γ_1 2γ	$(98.823 \pm 0.034) \%$	S=1.5
Γ_2 $e^+ e^- \gamma$	$(1.174 \pm 0.035) \%$	S=1.5
Γ_3 γ positronium	$(1.82 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-9}$	
Γ_4 $e^+ e^+ e^- e^-$	$(3.34 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_5 $e^+ e^-$	$(6.46 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-8}$	
Γ_6 4γ	< 2	$\times 10^{-8}$ CL=90%
Γ_7 invisible	< 4.4	$\times 10^{-9}$ CL=90%
Γ_8 $\nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$	< 1.7	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_9 $\nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{10} $\nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau$	< 2.1	$\times 10^{-6}$ CL=90%
Γ_{11} $\gamma \nu \bar{\nu}$	< 1.9	$\times 10^{-7}$ CL=90%

Charge conjugation (C) or Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes

Γ_{12} 3γ	C	< 3.1	$\times 10^{-8}$	CL=90%
Γ_{13} $\mu^+ e^-$	LF	< 3.8	$\times 10^{-10}$	CL=90%
Γ_{14} $\mu^- e^+$	LF	< 3.2	$\times 10^{-10}$	CL=90%
Γ_{15} $\mu^+ e^- + \mu^- e^+$	LF	< 3.6	$\times 10^{-10}$	CL=90%

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 branching ratios uses 6 measurements and one constraint to determine 3 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 4.6$ for 4 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} x_2 & -100 & \\ \hline x_4 & 0 & -1 \\ \hline & x_1 & x_2 \end{array}$$

π^0 BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-\gamma)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$

Γ_2/Γ_1


VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.188 ± 0.035 OUR FIT				Error includes scale factor of 1.5.
1.188 ± 0.034 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.
1.140 ± 0.024 ± 0.033	12.5k	¹ BEDDALL	08 ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
1.25 ± 0.04		SCHARDT	81 SPEC	$\pi^-p \rightarrow n\pi^0$
1.166 ± 0.047	3k	² SAMIOS	61 HBC	$\pi^-p \rightarrow n\pi^0$
1.17 ± 0.15	27	BUDAGOV	60 HBC	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
1.1559 ± 0.0047 ± 0.0106	60k	³ ABOUZAIID	19 KTEV	$K_L \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ in flight
1.196		JOSEPH	60 THEO	QED calculation

¹ BEDDALL 08 value is obtained from ALEPH archived data.

² SAMIOS 61 value uses a Panofsky ratio = 1.62.

³ ABOUZAIID 19 measured a value of $(0.3920 \pm 0.0016 \pm 0.0036)\%$ from 1999 KTEV data in $K_L \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \rightarrow 5\gamma e^+e^-$ decays, normalised to $K_L \rightarrow 3\pi^0$, for $m(ee) > 15$ MeV and then extrapolated it to the full $m(ee)$ range using the Mikaelian and Smith predictions for the mass spectrum.

WEIGHTED AVERAGE
1.188±0.034 (Error scaled by 1.4)



$\Gamma(\gamma\text{positronium})/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-9})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1.84±0.29	277	AFANASYEV 90	CNTR	pC 70 GeV

 $\Gamma(e^+e^+e^-e^-)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.38±0.16 OUR FIT				
3.38±0.16 OUR AVERAGE				
3.46±0.19	30.5k	¹ ABOUZAID 08D	KTEV	$K_L^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi_{DD}^0$
3.18±0.30	146	² SAMIOS 62B	HBC	

¹ This ABOUZAID 08D value includes all radiative final states. The error includes both statistical and systematic errors. The correlation between the Dalitz-pair planes gives a direct measurement of the π^0 parity. The $\pi^0 2\gamma^*$ form factor is measured and limits are placed on a scalar contribution to the decay.

² SAMIOS 62B value uses a Panofsky ratio = 1.62.

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

Experimental results are listed; branching ratios corrected for radiative effects are given in the footnotes. BERMAN 60 found $B(\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-) \geq 4.69 \times 10^{-8}$ via an exact QED calculation.

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
6.46±0.33 OUR AVERAGE					
6.44±0.25±0.22	794	¹ ABOUZAID 07	KTEV		$K_L^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ in flight
6.9 ±2.3 ±0.6	21	² DESHPANDE 93	SPEC		$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$
7.6 $^{+2.9}_{-2.8}$ ±0.5	8	³ MCFARLAND 93	SPEC		$K_L^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0$ in flight

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

6.09±0.40±0.24 275 ⁴ ALAVI-HARATI99c SPEC 0 Repl. by ABOUZAID 07

¹ ABOUZAID 07 result is for $m_{e^+e^-}/m_{\pi^0} > 0.95$. With radiative corrections the result becomes $(7.48 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-8}$.

² The DESHPANDE 93 result with bremsstrahlung radiative corrections is $(8.0 \pm 2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-8}$.

³ The MCFARLAND 93 result is for $B[\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-, (m_{e^+e^-}/m_{\pi^0})^2 > 0.95]$. With radiative corrections it becomes $(8.8 $^{+4.5}_{-3.2}$ ± 0.6) \times 10^{-8}$.

⁴ ALAVI-HARATI 99C quote result for $B[\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-, (m_{e^+e^-}/m_{\pi^0})^2 > 0.95]$ to minimize radiative contributions from $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$. After radiative corrections they obtain $(7.04 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-8}$.

 $\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$ Γ_5/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-7})</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.3	90		NIEBUHR 89	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ at rest
<5.3	90		ZEPHAT 87	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ 0.3 GeV/c
1.7 ±0.6 ±0.3		59	FRANK 83	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\pi^0$
1.8 ±0.6		58	MISCHKE 82	SPEC	See FRANK 83
2.23 $^{+2.40}_{-1.10}$	90	8	FISCHER 78B	SPRK	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$

$\Gamma(4\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_6/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 2	90		MCDONOUGH 88	CBOX	$\pi^- p$ at rest
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<160	90		BOLOTOV 86C	CALO	
<440	90	0	AUERBACH 80	CNTR	

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_7/Γ**

The limits apply to all "invisible" channels, including in particular neutrino-antineutrino final states, obtained with laboratory experiments. Astrophysical and cosmological limits exist and are many orders of magnitude lower, but they are model dependent.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 4.4×10^{-9}	90	CORTINA-GIL 21C	SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 (\gamma)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 0.27×10^{-6}	90	ARTAMONOV 05A	B949	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$
< 0.83×10^{-6}	90	ATIYA 91	B787	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu'$
< 24×10^{-6}	90	HERCZEG 81	RVUE	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu'$

$\Gamma(\nu_e \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_8/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.7	90	DORENBOS... 88	CHRM	Beam dump, prompt ν
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<3.1	90	¹ HOFFMAN 88	RVUE	Beam dump, prompt ν
¹ HOFFMAN 88 analyzes data from a 400-GeV BEBC beam-dump experiment.				

$\Gamma(\nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_9/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	8.7	AUERBACH 04	LSND	800 MeV p on Cu
<3.1	90		¹ HOFFMAN 88	RVUE	Beam dump, prompt ν
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<7.8	90		DORENBOS... 88	CHRM	Beam dump, prompt ν
¹ HOFFMAN 88 analyzes data from a 400-GeV BEBC beam-dump experiment.					

$\Gamma(\nu_\tau \bar{\nu}_\tau)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{10}/Γ**

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.1	90	¹ HOFFMAN 88	RVUE	Beam dump, prompt ν
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
<4.1	90	DORENBOS... 88	CHRM	Beam dump, prompt ν
¹ HOFFMAN 88 analyzes data from a 400-GeV BEBC beam-dump experiment.				

$\Gamma(\gamma \nu \bar{\nu})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{11}/Γ**

Standard Model prediction is 6×10^{-18} .

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 1.9×10^{-7}	90	CORTINA-GIL 19	SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 6×10^{-4}	90	ATIYA 92	CNTR	$K^+ \rightarrow \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \pi^+$

$\Gamma(3\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ
 Forbidden by C invariance.

VALUE (units 10^{-8})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.1	90		MCDONOUGH 88	CBOX	$\pi^- p$ at rest
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
< 38	90	0	HIGHLAND 80	CNTR	
<150	90	0	AUERBACH 78	CNTR	
<490	90	0	¹ DUCLOS 65	CNTR	
<490	90		¹ KUTIN 65	CNTR	

¹These experiments give $B(3\gamma/2\gamma) < 5.0 \times 10^{-6}$.

$\Gamma(\mu^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ
 Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.38	90	0	APPEL 00	SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ e^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
<16	90		LEE 90	SPEC	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ e^-$
<78	90		CAMPAGNARI 88	SPEC	See LEE 90

$\Gamma(\mu^- e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ
 Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 3.2×10^{-10}	90	ALIBERTI 21	NA62	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 3.4×10^{-9}	90	APPEL 00B	B865	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ \mu^-$

$[\Gamma(\mu^+ e^-) + \Gamma(\mu^- e^+)]/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ
 Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
< 0.36	90	ABOUZAID 08c	KTEV	$K_L^0 \rightarrow 2\pi^0 \mu^\pm e^\mp$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
< 17.2	90	KROLAK 94	E799	In $K_L^0 \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
<140		HERCZEG 84	RVUE	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu e$
< 2 $\times 10^{-6}$		HERCZEG 84	THEO	$\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ conversion
< 70	90	BRYMAN 82	RVUE	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu e$

π^0 ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM FACTOR

The amplitude for the process $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$ contains a form factor $F(x)$ at the $\pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ vertex, where $x = [m_{e^+e^-}/m_{\pi^0}]^2$. The parameter a in the linear expansion $F(x) = 1 + ax$ is listed below.

All the measurements except that of BEHREND 91 are in the time-like region of momentum transfer.

LINEAR COEFFICIENT OF π^0 ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM FACTOR

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
3.32 ± 0.29 OUR AVERAGE				
3.0 ± 1.0	0.4M	¹ ADLARSON 17A	SPEC	$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p, \pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$

$3.68 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.25$	1.1M	LAZZERONI	17	SPEC	$K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^\pm; \pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$
$2.6 \pm 2.4 \pm 4.8$	7.5k	FARZANPAY	92	SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ at rest
$2.5 \pm 1.4 \pm 2.6$	54k	MEIJERDREES ^{92B}		SPEC	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ at rest
$3.26 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.26$	127	² BEHREND	91	CELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^0$
$-11 \pm 3 \pm 8$	32k	FONVIEILLE	89	SPEC	Radiation corr.
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
$12 \begin{smallmatrix} + 5 \\ - 4 \end{smallmatrix}$		³ TUPPER	83	THEO	FISCHER 78 data
10 ± 3	31k	⁴ FISCHER	78	SPEC	Radiation corr.
1 ± 11	2.2k	DEVONS	69	OSPK	No radiation corr.
-15 ± 10	7.6k	KOBRAK	61	HBC	No radiation corr.
-24 ± 16	3.0k	SAMIOS	61	HBC	No radiation corr.

¹ADLARSON 17A use the A2 tagged photon facility at the Mainz Microtron, MAMI to measure π^0 Dalitz decay. The error is the total estimated experimental uncertainty .

²BEHREND 91 estimates that their systematic error is of the same order of magnitude as their statistical error, and so we have included a systematic error of this magnitude. The value of a is obtained by extrapolation from the region of large space-like momentum transfer assuming vector dominance.

³TUPPER 83 is a theoretical analysis of FISCHER 78 including 2-photon exchange in the corrections.

⁴The FISCHER 78 error is statistical only. The result without radiation corrections is $+0.05 \pm 0.03$.

π^0 REFERENCES

We have omitted some papers that have been superseded by later experiments. The omitted papers may be found in our 1988 edition Physics Letters **B204** 1 (1988).

ALIBERTI	21	PRL 127 131802	R. Aliberti <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	21C	JHEP 2102 201	E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
LARIN	20	SCI 368 506	I. Larin <i>et al.</i>	(PrimEx II Collab.)
ABOUZAID	19	PR D100 032003	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(KTeV Collab.)
CORTINA-GIL	19	JHEP 1905 182	E. Cortina Gil <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
ADLARSON	17A	PR C95 025202	P. Adlarson <i>et al.</i>	(A2 Collab. at MAMI)
LAZZERONI	17	PL B768 38	C. Lazzeroni <i>et al.</i>	(NA62 Collab.)
BERNSTEIN	13	RMP 85 49	A.M. Bernstein, B. R. Holstein	(AMHT, MIT)
LARIN	11	PRL 106 162303	I. Larin <i>et al.</i>	(PrimEx Collab.)
BYCHKOV	09	PRL 103 051802	M. Bychkov <i>et al.</i>	(PSI PIBETA Collab.)
ABOUZAID	08C	PRL 100 131803	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
ABOUZAID	08D	PRL 100 182001	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
BEDDALL	08	EPJ C54 365	A. Beddall, A. Beddall	(UGAZ)
ABOUZAID	07	PR D75 012004	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(KTeV Collab.)
ARTAMONOV	05A	PR D72 091102	A.V. Artamonov <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E949 Collab.)
AUERBACH	04	PRL 92 091801	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i>	(LSND Collab.)
APPEL	00	PRL 85 2450	R. Appel <i>et al.</i>	(BNL 865 Collab.)
Also		Thesis, Yale Univ.	D.R. Bergman	
Also		Thesis, Univ. Zurich	S. Pislak	
APPEL	00B	PRL 85 2877	R. Appel <i>et al.</i>	(BNL 865 Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	99C	PRL 83 922	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
KROLAK	94	PL B320 407	P. Krolak <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, UCLA, COLO, ELMT+)
DESHPANDE	93	PRL 71 27	A. Deshpande <i>et al.</i>	(BNL E851 Collab.)
MCFARLAND	93	PRL 71 31	K.S. McFarland <i>et al.</i>	(EFI, UCLA, COLO+)
ATIYA	92	PRL 69 733	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, LANL, PRIN+)
FARZANPAY	92	PL B278 413	F. Farzanpay <i>et al.</i>	(ORST, TRIU, BRCO+)
MEIJERDREES	92B	PR D45 1439	R. Meijer Drees <i>et al.</i>	(PSI SINDRUM-I Collab.)
ATIYA	91	PRL 66 2189	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, LANL, PRIN+)
BEHREND	91	ZPHY C49 401	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
CRAWFORD	91	PR D43 46	J.F. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(VILL, UVA)

AFANASYEV	90	PL B236 116	L.G. Afanasyev <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, MOSU, SERP)
Also		SJNP 51 664	L.G. Afanasyev <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 51 1040.		
LEE	90	PRL 64 165	A.M. Lee <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FNAL, VILL, WASH+)
FONVIEILLE	89	PL B233 65	H. Fonvieille <i>et al.</i>	(CLER, LYON, SACL)
NIEBUHR	89	PR D40 2796	C. Niebuhr <i>et al.</i>	(SINDRUM Collab.)
CAMPAGNARI	88	PRL 61 2062	C. Campagnari <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, FNAL, PSI+)
CRAWFORD	88B	PL B213 391	J.F. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(PSI, UVA)
DORENBOS...	88	ZPHY C40 497	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
HOFFMAN	88	PL B208 149	C.M. Hoffman	(LANL)
MCDONOUGH	88	PR D38 2121	J.M. McDonough <i>et al.</i>	(TEMP, LANL, CHIC)
PDG	88	PL B204 1	G.P. Yost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL+)
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
ZEPHAT	87	JP G13 1375	A.G. Zephat <i>et al.</i>	(OMICRON Collab.)
BOLOTOV	86C	JETPL 43 520	V.N. Bolotov <i>et al.</i>	(INRM)
		Translated from ZETFP 43 405.		
CRAWFORD	86	PRL 56 1043	J.F. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(SIN, UVA)
ATHERTON	85	PL 158B 81	H.W. Atherton <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ISU, LUND+)
HERCZEG	84	PR D29 1954	P. Herczeg, C.M. Hoffman	(LANL)
FRANK	83	PR D28 423	J.S. Frank <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, ARZS)
TUPPER	83	PR D28 2905	G.B. Tupper, T.R. Grose, M.A. Samuel	(OKSU)
BRYMAN	82	PR D26 2538	D.A. Bryman	(TRIU)
MISCHKE	82	PRL 48 1153	R.E. Mischke <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, ARZS)
HERCZEG	81	PL 100B 347	P. Herczeg, C.M. Hoffman	(LANL)
SCHARDT	81	PR D23 639	M.A. Schardt <i>et al.</i>	(ARZS, LANL)
AUERBACH	80	PL 90B 317	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i>	(TEMP, LASL)
HIGHLAND	80	PRL 44 628	V.L. Highland <i>et al.</i>	(TEMP, LASL)
AUERBACH	78	PRL 41 275	L.B. Auerbach <i>et al.</i>	(TEMP, LASL)
FISCHER	78	PL 73B 359	J. Fischer <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
FISCHER	78B	PL 73B 364	J. Fischer <i>et al.</i>	(GEVA, SACL)
BROWMAN	74	PRL 33 1400	A. Browman <i>et al.</i>	(CORN, BING)
BELLETTINI	70	NC 66A 243	G. Bellettini <i>et al.</i>	(PISA, BONN)
KRYSHKIN	70	JETP 30 1037	V.I. Kryshkin, A.G. Sterligov, Y.P. Usov	(TMSK)
		Translated from ZETF 57 1917.		
DEVONS	69	PR 184 1356	S. Devons <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ROMA)
VASILEVSKY	66	PL 23 281	I.M. Vasilevsky <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
BELLETTINI	65B	NC 40A 1139	G. Bellettini <i>et al.</i>	(PISA, FIRZ)
DUCLOS	65	PL 19 253	J. Duclos <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID)
KUTIN	65	JETPL 2 243	V.M. Kutjin, V.I. Petrukhin, Y.D. Prokoshkin	(JINR)
		Translated from ZETFP 2 387.		
CZIRR	63	PR 130 341	J.B. Czirr	(LRL)
SAMIOS	62B	PR 126 1844	N.P. Samios <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BNL)
KOBRAK	61	NC 20 1115	H. Kobrak	(EFI)
SAMIOS	61	PR 121 275	N.P. Samios	(COLU, BNL)
BERMAN	60	NC 18 1192	S. Berman, D. Geffen	
BUDAGOV	60	JETP 11 755	Y.A. Budagov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from ZETF 38 1047.		
JOSEPH	60	NC 16 997	D.W. Joseph	(EFI)